1 THE HONORABLE JUDGE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 11 12 DOUGLAS WOOD and SANDRA KARLSVIK, husband and wife, 13 NO. C05-5575RBL 14 Plaintiffs, ANSWER OF DEFENDANT 15 KITSAP COUNTY -VS-16 KITSAP COUNTY; WEST SOUND NARCOTICS 17 ENFORCEMENT TEAM; OFFICER MATTHEW DOUGIL (WestNET); GIG HARBOR POLICE 18 DEPARTMENT; DETECTIVE JOHN DOE 19 SCHUSTER (WestNET) DETECTIVE JOHN HALSTED (POULSBO POLICE DEPT. WestNET, 20 Badge #606); DETECTIVE G.R. MARS (WSP STATEWIDE INCIDENT RESPONSE TEAM, 21 Badge #685); DETECTIVE JOHN DOE WILSON 22 and JOHN DOES 1-25, 23 Defendants. 24 25 COMES NOW the Defendant, KITSAP COUNTY, by and through its attorney, IONE S. 26 GEORGE, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for Kitsap County, and by way of answer to Plaintiff's 27 28

Complaint filed herein, admits, denies, and alleges as follows:

# I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1.1 Paragraph 1.1 states a legal conclusion(s) for which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is deemed required, defendant Kitsap County admits that this court has jurisdiction over this matter, and denies that jurisdiction is pursuant to 28 USC §1332.
- 1.2 Admit that Kitsap County is located within in the judicial district of the United States

  District Court Western District of Washington at Tacoma. Defendant Kitsap County is without

  sufficient information to either admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 1.2 of
  the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

#### II. PARTIES

- 2.1. Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 2.1 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
- 2.2 Deny that the individually named defendants were police officers employed by defendant Kitsap County. Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in the first sentence paragraph 2.2 of the Compliant, and therefore denies the same. The second sentence of paragraph 2.2 contains a term that is not defined and/or is ambiguous, such that defendant Kitsap County has insufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies the same.
- 2.3 Defendant Kitsap County admits that Kitsap County is a municipal corporation existing under the laws of the State of Washington.
- 2.3 Defendant Kitsap County admits that Defendant West Sound Narcotics Enforcement
  Team (WestNET) is a multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Force that is not a legal entity and is not subject

to suit. Admit the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 2.4 of the Complaint.

- 2.5 The first sentence of paragraph 2.5 states a legal assertion for which no answer is required. Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 2.5 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
  - 2.6 Deny.
- 2.7 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 2.7 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same,
  - 2.8 Deny.

#### III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 3.1 Deny.
- 3.2 Deny that defendant Kitsap County took part in a raid. Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 3.2 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
  - 3.3 Deny.
  - 3.4 Deny.
- 3.5 Deny that defendant Kitsap County took part in a raid. Defendant Kitsap County iswithout sufficient information to either admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph3.5 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 3.6 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.6 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 3.7 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.7 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.

- 3.8 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.8 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 3.9 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.9 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 3.10 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.10 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 3.11 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.11 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 3.12 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.12 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 3.13 Defendant Kitsap County denies that it committed the acts alleged in paragraph 3.13 of the Complaint.
- 3.14 Defendant Kitsap County denies that it committed the acts alleged in paragraph 3.14 of the Complaint.

### IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

- 4.1 Defendant Kitsap County incorporates by reference and reasserts all answers previously made to paragraphs 1.1 through 3.14 of the Complaint.
- 4.2 The first sentence of paragraph 4.2 of the Compliant states a legal assertion for which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is deemed required, Defendant Kitsap County denies the same. Defendant Kitsap County denies the remaining allegation against it as contained in paragraph 4.2 of the Complaint.

- 4.3 Admit that actions, if any, by defendant Kitsap County were done under color of state law.
- 4.4 Paragraph 4.4 of the Compliant states a legal assertion for which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is deemed required, Defendant Kitsap County denies the allegation against it as contained in paragraph 4.4 of the Complaint.
- 4.5 Defendant Kitsap County denies the allegation against it as contained in paragraph 4.5of the Complaint.

# V. DAMAGES

- 5.1 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.1 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 5.2 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.2 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 5.3 Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.3 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.
- 5.4 Paragraph 5.4 of the Complaint sets forth a legal assertion for which no answer is required. To the extent and answer is deemed required, Defendant Kitsap County denies the same. Defendant Kitsap County is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 5.4, and therefore denies the same.
  - 5.5 Deny.

### VI. PRAYER FOR JUDGMENT

- A. Deny that plaintiffs are entitled to relief sought.
- B. Deny that plaintiffs are entitled to relief sought.

- C. Deny that plaintiffs are entitled to relief sought.
- D. Deny that plaintiffs are entitled to relief sought.

### VII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

In response to paragraph VII of the Complaint, no answer is required.

Defendant denies all other allegations contained in the Complaint for Damages.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant alleges as follows:

- 1. <u>Public Duty Doctrine</u>: Defendant alleges that under the public duty doctrine there is no duty owed to the Plaintiffs and that Defendant is therefore not liable to the Plaintiffs as a matter of law.
- 2. <u>Contributory Negligence</u>: Plaintiffs' injuries or damages, if any, which are not admitted, but are expressly denied, were proximately caused, in whole or in part, by Plaintiffs' own conduct and/or actions.
- 3. <u>Assumption of the Risk</u>: Defendant alleges that injuries and damages, if any, claimed by the Plaintiffs herein arise out of the condition which Plaintiffs had knowledge and to which Plaintiffs voluntarily subjected herself.
- 4. Reasonable Exercise of Discretion and Judgment: All actions herein alleged against Defendant manifests a reasonable exercise of judgment and discretion authorized to public officers in the exercise of governmental authority entrusted to them by law, and are neither tortuous nor actionable.
  - 5. <u>Good Faith</u>: Defendant acted in good faith.
- 6. <u>Failure to State a Claim</u>: Plaintiffs' Complaint, in whole or in part, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
  - 7. <u>Failure to Mitigate</u>: Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate their damages, if any.

- 8. Statue of Limitations.
- 9. <u>Reservation of Rights</u>: Defendant reserve the right to assert additional affirmative defenses as established by the facts in this case.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for the following relief:

- 1. That Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice and plaintiff takes nothing thereby.
- 2. For judgment against the plaintiff in favor of the defendant for attorneys' fees and costs.
- 3. For other relief as the court deems just and equitable.

DATED this 29<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2005.

RUSSELL D. HAUGE Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney

IONE S. GEORGE WSBA No. 18236

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Attorney for Defendant Kitsap County

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on November 29, 2005, I presented the foregoing to the Clerk of the Court for filing and uploading to the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Mark Leemon
Leemon & Royer PLLC
2505 Second Avenue, Suite 610
Seattle, WA 98121
leemon@leeroylaw.com

Jason Marc Rosen
Robert Leslie Christie
Christie Law Group PLLC
220 West Mercer Street, Suite W411
Seattle, WA 98119
Jason@christielawgroup.com
bob@christielawgroup.com

EXECUTED this 29<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2005, at Port Orchard, Washington.

SHELLEY L. SOLIF Legal Assistant

Kitsap County Prosecutor's Office 614 Division Street, MS 35-A

Port Orchard, WA 98366

360-337-4992

ssolie@co.kitsap.wa.us

RUSSELL D. HAUGE Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney 614 Division Street, MS-35A Port Orchard, WA 98366-4676 (360) 337-4992 Fax (360) 337-7083